

Plan Bay Area		
January 2012 Public Workshops		
Open Comment Station -- Oral Comments		
	County	Comments
1	San Mateo	What policies prevent development on sensitive lands with transportation funding?
2	San Mateo	What is social equity?
3	San Mateo	Whose backyard will be affected?
4	San Mateo	Eminent domain equals local decision deniability for regional government.
5	San Mateo	Who is doing the plan?
6	San Mateo	Where does funding come from? Funding is a motivator.
7	San Mateo	Concern that regional government is overtaking local control.
8	San Mateo	Population growth: where would it come from?
9	San Mateo	What is an equity analysis?
10	San Mateo	Social equity vs. equality; benefits should be equal for all people - this is manipulation.
11	San Mateo	Concerned with the impact of property rezoning - land use at local level relies on targets.
12	San Mateo	This is manipulation by regulation.
13	San Mateo	We need more Q&A time.
14	San Mateo	Support local control.
15	San Mateo	AB 32 hurts farmlands.
16	San Mateo	Elderly and minorities will be forced into high density housing.
17	San Mateo	There are no more redevelopment funds available.
18	San Mateo	We can't get a water permit due to regulation.
19	San Mateo	Regulation is excessive; free enterprise is better - this doesn't help homeowners.
20	San Mateo	Rising sea level - no change during CO2 increases; BCDC is focused on protecting the Bay.
21	San Mateo	Jobs are leaving California. Why add burdens? Let free market decide.
22	San Mateo	What are the sources of your growth projections?
23	San Mateo	What happens if growth doesn't occur?
24	San Mateo	Policies will use exclusionary zoning.
25	San Mateo	Zoning to stop building forces people out of the hills into high density housing.
26	San Mateo	Support a local versus regional policy.
27	San Mateo	Portland did this - it fails.
28	San Mateo	Population growth, emissions and carbon footprint: flawed basis for a plan.
29	San Mateo	Solar is not viable; our economy is poor (not growing); you cannot take property without due process.
30	San Mateo	Concern that the state will default. Cost of regulations; can't attract business; the plan contributes to problem; past regulations have done this.
31	San Mateo	Need roads and bridges - transit is an ineffective approach.
32	San Mateo	Money isn't there for the plan.
33	San Mateo	Global warming isn't real. Therefore there will be no sea level rise.
34	San Mateo	Who writes report? You need local buy-in.
35	San Mateo	I can't have small car.
36	San Mateo	What if cities do not comply?
37	San Mateo	Government planning doesn't work as well (e.g. Germany).
38	San Mateo	This plan is Marxism - government creates poverty and USA is not competitive.
39	San Mateo	Housing segregation is a bad idea.
40	San Mateo	Housing is not affordable.

41	San Mateo	Government is not responsible with the people's money.
42	San Mateo	Open systems need incremental solutions.
43	San Mateo	"One size fits all" doesn't work.
44	San Mateo	Cars are necessary.
45	San Mateo	Need facts on global warming; no impact based on research. Human contribution is small.
46	San Mateo	Greenhouse effect is real; human contribution is small.
47	San Mateo	China and India are creating lots of CO2. California cannot affect this.
48	San Mateo	Transit systems don't coordinate because of multiple agencies.
49	San Mateo	ABAG housing allocations: Is this process really worthwhile? What is the net benefit?
50	San Mateo	Pensions costs are a concern.
51	San Mateo	Competitiveness and debt are key issues.
52	San Mateo	Is it the government's job to decide home and work locations?
53	San Mateo	I do not like public transit. Prefer cars.
54	San Mateo	Choices are not fair in workshops. We need to protect everyone's choices.
55	San Mateo	Public transit needs to move to alternate funding method; support public-private partnerships for transportation - we need more innovative transportation options.
56	San Mateo	People need options for transportation and lifestyle.
57	San Mateo	Population growth should be based on the expected employment growth.
58	San Mateo	Disagree with population growth premise - especially in a recession.
59	San Mateo	Need more information to make good choices.
60	San Mateo	Don't want eminent domain - think it's unfair and unconstitutional.
61	San Mateo	Where is population growth coming from?
62	San Mateo	Supportive of high-speed rail and Light rail.
63	San Mateo	I support free enterprise and individual initiative.
64	San Mateo	We need east-west connections in San Mateo (buses).
65	San Mateo	What will we do if state goes bankrupt?
66	San Mateo	How will you reconcile all comments and feedback from all sessions?
67	San Mateo	Where do baseline assumptions in this plan come from?
68	San Mateo	What is the timeframe for comments?
69	San Mateo	I support private sector and local government vs. regional government.
70	San Mateo	Light rail and transportation = eminent domain.
71	San Mateo	Define social equity and the relationship to transportation.
72	San Mateo	Land use is local government authority.
73	San Mateo	Plan should provide options for all groups in the region.