Errata April 15, 2013

• Page 55

Certain percentages are revised to reflect an ABAG Board decision made during the Plan Bay Area process to remove Rural Investment Areas and Growth Opportunity Areas from the Priority Development Area share of total growth calculation.

Lines 4-7

In Marin, 22 percent of new jobs and 38 percent of new homes are expected to be located in PDAs, while the share is 18 percent and 41 percent in Napa County, 33 percent and 65 63 percent in Solano County, and 56 45 percent and 72 62 percent in Sonoma County.

Lines 13-15

Overall, well over two-thirds of all regional growth by 2040 is allocated within Priority Development Areas. PDAs are expected to accommodate 80 79 percent (or over 525,570 521,000 units) of new housing and 66 63 percent (or 744,230 703,000) of new jobs.

Page 55

Table 3, column 9 is revised as shown below:

Table 3 SF Bay Area County Housing and Job Growth, 2010–2040

	Employment				Housing Units				Households							
			2010- Gro				2010–2040 Growth				2010-2040 Growth					
County	2010	2040	Total			2040	Total			2040	Total	%				
Alameda	694,450	947,630	253,190	36%	582,550	730,530	147,980	29%	545,140	705,290	160,150	29%				
Contra Costa	344,920	467,000	122,080	35%	400,260	480,400	80,130	23%	375,360	463,070	87,700	23%				
Marin	110,730	129,130	18,390	17%	111,210	118,720	7,510	9%	103,210	112,020	8,810	9%				
Napa	70,650	89,530	18,880	27%	54,760	60,810	6,050	15%	48,880	56,290	7,410	15%				
San Francisco	568,720	759,470	190,740	34%	376,940	469,350	92,410	29%	345,810	447,250	101,440	29%				
San Mateo	345,200	445,310	100,110 303,530	29%	271,030 631,920	326,730 843,110	55,700 211,190	22% 36%	257,840 604,200	315,730 819,130	57,900 214,920	22% 36%				
Santa Clara Solano	926,260	1,229,800	47,560	33%	152,700	175,520	211,190	19%	141,760	168,650	26,890	19%				
Sonoma	192,010	257,450	65,430	34%	204,570	236,440	31,870	19%	185,							
REGION	3,385,300	4,505,220	1,119,920	33%	2,785,950	3,445,940*	660,000*	27%	2,608,					using Units		
										201	o	2040		Total	%	Revised %
											2,550		730,530	147,980	29%	<u>25%</u>
											0,260		480,400	80,130	23%	<u>20%</u>
										11	1,210		118,720	7,510	9%	<u>7%</u>
										5	4,760		60,810	6,050	15%	<u>11%</u>
										37	6,940		469,350	92,410	29%	<u>25%</u>
										27	1,030		326,730	55,700	22%	<u>21%</u>
										63	1,920		843,110	211,190	36%	<u>33%</u>
										15	2,700		175,520	22,820	19%	<u>15%</u>
								\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		20	4,570		236,440	31,870	19%	<u>16%</u>
									AL.	2,78	5,950	3	,445,940*	660,000*	27%	<u>24%</u>

Errata (Continued)

•Pages 146-148

For the maps titled "Change in Households per Acre: 2010-2040" on pages 146, 147 and 148, the legend should read as in the example below:

