#### Napa Workshop — April 28, 2011 Plan Bay Area Participant Comment Sheet Step 1: County Growth and Place Types (A.) Thoughts and comments about place types in area closest to where you live or work MEETING COMMENT Napa St. Helena, Calistoga Rural Town Center - add more affordable housing Napa - Suburban Center - more vibrant downtown Napa American Canyon - yes, MUC but improve traffic flow Napa Napa Downtown Napa - city center - add housing; link to AE - transit between nodes Napa Consider tourist traffic - through traffic -> transit - regional connection Napa Rural town center & rural mixed use corridor are most appropriate in Napa County given limited transit options & small communities Napa Transit on Hwy 29 isn't only folks traveling within American Canyon so many public transit options (streetcars, buses, etc.) may not solve problem alone Napa Vallejo ferry is important to consider with transit Napa City of Napa should have a priority development area, potentially downtown Napa Need to link American Canyon & downtown Napa via transit Napa Need link from Napa/American Canyon to Solano/Vallejo Bring BART to Vallejo & light rail link to American Canyon Napa American Canyon has the components to meet the definition of a mixed use corridor, but successful Napa implementation will require significant investment in furthering transit modes. Napa Downtown Napa is a logical place for a PDA and could link by transit to American Canyon Napa Nodes: Vallejo ferry, American Canyon, Napa Napa Secondary PDA in Napa Re-message our transit: why St. Helena Vine, Napa Vine? Napa Napa PDA in American Canyon Napa I live in open space and agriculture

### Napa Workshop — April 28, 2011 **Plan Bay Area Participant Comment Sheet** Step 1: County Growth and Place Types (B.) What are your priorities for conserving land in the county/region? MEETING COMMENT Napa Urban growth boundaries; low development outside them Napa's conservation areas should be better illustrated on the maps - they encompass all agriculturally designated Napa Napa The need to maintain the Ag. nature of the county is critical. In addition, the open space and recreational opportunities in American Canyon must be maximized. Napa Napa Conserving land is a great idea in Napa County Urban centered development, infill in already developed areas or those with or near infrastructure and services Napa Napa Adequate housing and mixed uses in cities HIGH - water conservation Napa Napa HIGH - view, aesthetics Priority number 1 Napa Napa Continue to conserve agricultural land and other natural open spaces Napa Making sure the wine industry preserves its character Napa Making sure we have neighborhood [not legible] Napa Maintain open spaces Napa Get more tax dollars taxed for fuel used for fixing roads

Napa

Napa

Agriculture land
Open space land

# Napa Workshop — April 28, 2011 Plan Bay Area Participant Comment Sheet

# Step 1: County Growth and Place Types

(C.) What resources do you think would be needed to support growth and high-quality development in your community?

MEETING	COMMENT	
Napa	Water	
Napa	Better streets	
Napa	Regional transit & transportation improvements: Vallejo transit; BART to Vallejo	
Napa	Funding for transportation & infrastructure (sewer and water)	
Napa	Money and visionary thinking	
Napa	Small towns, such as American Canyon need support and funding to plan and implement high quality urban	
	development. Have a concern that the small town will not complete with the "big boys"	
Napa	Examples of how its worked in other communities	
Napa	Money! But (aligning our resources with our priorities) to go along with plans	
Napa	Policies to support jurisdictions ability to work together to plan and act	
Napa	Involvement - broader collection of ideas	
Napa	\$\$ for housing downtown for walk able	
Napa	Water and roadways	
Napa	Have a way to get input from all land owners of unused land. Find out why they are using their land in the way	
Napa	Build a website for them (owners of unused land) to help them use their land to the best for them and all	
Napa	We do not want growth. The less growth occurs the better.	

Napa Workshop — April 28, 2011				
Plan Bay Area Participant Comment Sheet				
Step 2: Transportation Investment Strategies				
Comments about top transportation investment strategies				
MEETING	COMMENT			
Napa	Answer would be different for Napa than for whole Bay Area			
Napa	Adding capacity to roads & freeways is warranted when it facilitates additional transit services or improved transit			
Napa	Concerned that the city in Napa County that takes the houses will seem insignificant from a regional scale and will			
Napa	How is it that the entire country of Italy (or fill in the blank European country) figure out how to invest in and			
Napa	BRT - [not legible]			
Napa	E. (widen freeways & roadways) should be a last resort; minimizing cars on roads should be the priority			
Napa	Growth in jobs does not imply equal amount of office space. Should anticipate a % of workers will work full time out of their homes			

Napa Workshop — April 28, 2011				
Plan Bay Area Participant Comment Sheet				
Step 3: Policy Initiatives				
Comments about top policy initiatives				
MEETING	COMMENT			
Napa	#4, 5 & 6 are not very familiar to us in Napa - not sure how they work or what they'd accomplish			
Napa	Health			
Napa	Non-punitive ideas work better incentivize rather than punish			
Napa	Item 2 provides false options - driving 55 does not reduce emissions; driving at engine's optimal speed does,			
Napa	Couple incentives with mandates; encourage employers to offer flex schedules rather than force them.			
Napa	I chose EV's since we realize it's hard to change people's behavior from driving and decreasing VMTs but we can change the vehicle from gas to clean			
Napa	<b>Health</b> in all policies came up at our table - I believe this is a valuable component of our planning and we should ensure this is included in any final scenario (CA Strategic Growth Council)			
Napa	Health considerations			
Napa	There is a lack of understanding of the need to protect agricultural and open space			

Napa Workshop — April 28, 2011 Plan Bay Area Participant Comment Sheet

## WILD CARDS

Step 2: Transportation Investment Strategies

Step 3: Policy Initiatives

MEETING	WILD CARD — Investment Strategies	WILD CARD — Policy Initiatives
Napa		Mandate mixed use development
Napa		Preserve agriculture & open space via urban limit lines
Napa		Mixed uses
Napa		Health in all policies
Napa		Improve transit
Napa		Staggered work hours
Napa		Promote employer-based carpools
Napa	More bike routes	More bike policies
Napa	Add more bike and pedestrian routes	Regional gas tax
Napa	Incentives for people to use public transportation	Encourage/subsidize mixed use and affordable
Napa	The less development the better	Not enthused about any of these policy choices
Napa	Expand public transportation	Adopt BID or other assessment district to fund public transit and infrastructure
Napa	Improve bicycle lanes	Housing near jobs and incentives for employers that
Napa	Build more sidewalks	Mixed land uses to have proximity, less driving
Napa	Expand rail/BART	
Napa	Adopt PDA area within city of Napa	
Napa	Increase transit speed & connectivity	
Napa	Unify transit agencies	
Napa	Transit priority signals	