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The land that makes up the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area has been home to diverse groups of Indigenous peoples with unique cultures and deeply rooted relationships to the land for over 10,000 years.¹ The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) acknowledge the Ohlone as the traditional caretakers of the land that makes up the Bay Area. We honor their connection to the land and the deep respect they hold for this region.

Ohlone is the most commonly used term for the predominant Indigenous group of the Bay Area, who are made up of linguistically similar but ethnically diverse Native American tribes. These tribes include the Chochenyo and the Karkin of the East Bay, the Ramaytush of San Francisco, the Yokuts of the South Bay and Central Valley, and the Muwekma tribe from throughout the region. Other Indigenous groups of the region are distinct from the Ohlone linguistic group, including the Coast Miwok and Southern Pomo of the Graton Rancheria community; the Kashaya, Patwin and Mishewal Wappo of the North Bay; and the Bay Miwok of the East Bay.² MTC and ABAG are committed to furthering meaningful partnerships with the tribes of this region.

Thank you for your stewardship.

---
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Introduction

State and federal regulations require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) to conduct government-to-government consultation regarding MTC’s and ABAG’s planning and programming activities with tribal governments of federally recognized Native American tribes.

Additionally, effective July 1, 2015, Assembly Bill 52 (M. Gatto, Statutes of 2014) began requiring that state and local agencies analyze the impacts to Native American cultural resources under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The law introduced new state requirements for consultation with Native American tribal governments. As such, lead agencies under CEQA must work with tribal governments to avoid or mitigate the impacts to cultural resources.

This report documents MTC’s and ABAG’s outreach to the region’s Native American tribes during preparation of Plan Bay Area 2050, the next-generation regional plan which will serve as the region’s long-range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area, slated for adoption in fall 2021.

Overview of Plan Bay Area 2050 Planning Process

Plan Bay Area 2050 is a state-mandated, integrated long-range plan for housing, the economy, transportation and the environment.

The long-range regional plan is designed to meet the requirements established by Senate Bill 375 (D. Steinberg, Statutes of 2008), which requires all regions in California to complete an SCS as part of their RTP. Plan Bay Area 2050 is developed jointly by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) and must meet statutory greenhouse gas reduction targets and housing requirements established by the State. When adopted in fall 2021, Plan Bay Area 2050 will replace Plan Bay Area 2040, a more limited and focused regional plan centered specifically on transportation and land use, adopted originally in 2017, as the San Francisco Bay Area’s RTP and SCS.

MTC and ABAG kicked off this cycle of long-range planning with the predecessor Horizon initiative in 2018 — an ambitious, innovative regional planning effort that explored the challenges and opportunities likely to face the Bay Area between now and 2050, such as the emergence of driverless vehicles, rising sea levels, earthquakes, changing economic conditions and political unrest, among others. Plan Bay Area 2050 took shape over the next two years, through extensive public outreach, analysis, and assessment of how policies and investments in the plan influenced performance, as forecasted by modeling tools. Focusing on four key elements — the economy, the environment, housing and transportation — Plan Bay Area 2050 is a major reimagining of the regional plan, outlining policies and investments necessary to advance the region’s goal of a more affordable, connected, diverse, healthy and vibrant Bay Area for all.
Plan Bay Area 2050 Engagement with Native American Tribes

There are six federally recognized Native American tribes in the San Francisco Bay Area. Throughout the development of Plan Bay Area 2050, MTC and ABAG staff routinely invites the tribes to conduct government-to-government consultation in addition to organizing a Tribal Summit during each of the engagement phases of the plan process.

MTC and ABAG lay the groundwork for consultation early in the process of developing the regional long-range plan by expressing to each tribe a willingness to conduct individual consultation meetings at the tribe’s convenience. MTC and ABAG board members and executive staff participate in consultation with the tribal governments and conduct consultation and associated activities in locations convenient for the governments. Past meetings have been held in Sonoma County, where most of the tribal governments are located. For this iteration of the long-range plan, and because of the COVID-19 pandemic, consultation meetings also were held virtually via Zoom.

The Tribal Summit is a forum to foster collaboration between tribal governments and other government agencies. MTC’s and ABAG’s partners, including the state Department of Transportation and the appropriate county transportation agencies, are invited and often participate. The Tribal Summit is hosted or facilitated by an individual or organization known to and trusted by the tribal governments. It includes discussion about how the tribal governments can participate in development of the long-range plan, as well as how they can engage in individual government-to-government consultation. The Tribal Summit also serves to introduce tribal governments to MTC’s and ABAG’s partner agencies, and to establish channels for receiving communications and material from MTC and ABAG throughout the long-range planning effort.

In addition to ongoing communications with the Bay Area’s federally recognized and non-federally recognized tribes, MTC and ABAG staff have coordinated the following direct engagement activities and government-to-government consultations during the development of Plan Bay Area 2050. The details of each phase are described below. Engagement and consultation will continue through adoption of the final Plan Bay Area 2050.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>ACTIVITY DATE</th>
<th>MATERIALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Native American Tribal Summit and Engagement</td>
<td>December 12, 2019</td>
<td>Appendix 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Native American Tribal Summit and Engagement</td>
<td>August 3, 2020</td>
<td>Appendix 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AB 52 Consultation</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Appendix 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Native American Tribal Summit and Engagement</td>
<td>Summer 2021</td>
<td>Under Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phase 1 | Engagement

MTC and ABAG began the Plan Bay Area 2050 engagement and consultation process in fall 2019. A map prepared by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) showing the affected tribes is included as Appendix 1, Exhibit 1.

Tribal Summit

MTC and ABAG staff organized a Tribal Summit that took place on Thursday, December 12, 2019 to provide an overview of Plan Bay Area 2050 and to solicit feedback on potential strategies for inclusion in the Draft Blueprint, the first iteration of the Plan Bay Area 2050 strategies that was analyzed in spring 2020. MTC and ABAG staff sent invitation letters on November 19, 2019 to key tribal representatives of the six federally recognized tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of Plan Bay Area 2050 (see Appendix 1, Exhibits 2 and 3).

The Summit began with a welcome and introductions by Joan Harper, Tribal Transportation Planning Coordinator of the National Indian Justice Center, followed by opening remarks from MTC and ABAG Deputy Executive Director for Policy Alix Bockelman. Then-MTC Commissioner Jake Mackenzie and then-ABAG Board President David Rabbitt, both representatives of Sonoma County, also welcomed the group. Chris Caputo, Chief of Cultural and Resource Studies for Caltrans District 4, provided an update on Caltrans’ outreach efforts and coordination with tribes. Dave Vautin, Assistant Director of Major Plans at MTC and ABAG, provided an overview of Plan Bay Area 2050 followed by a discussion of the proposed strategies to create a more comprehensive regional plan. The Summit also showcased two MTC and ABAG initiatives, Vital Signs and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

During the Summit, MTC and ABAG staff members offered the option to conduct government-to-government consultation; however, none of the tribal representatives in attendance requested such consultation. The materials from the summit are included in Appendix 1.

The Summit was hosted by the National Indian Justice Center at its offices in the city of Santa Rosa. Also in attendance were Stephen Conteh, Senior Transportation Planner for Caltrans District 4; Derek McGill, Planning Manager for the Transportation Authority of Marin; Kate Miller, Executive Director of the Napa Valley Transportation Authority; and Suzanne Smith, Executive Director of the Sonoma County Transportation Authority. These representatives provided the tribes with a direct connection to state, regional and county levels of transportation in the region.
MTC and ABAG began Phase 2 of the Plan Bay Area 2050 engagement and consultation process in fall 2020. During Phase 2, MTC and ABAG staff held another Tribal Summit to update tribal partners on the Plan Bay Area 2050 development process (as required by state and federal law), and conducted government-to-government consultation as part of the Notice of Preparation of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for Plan Bay Area 2050 (as required under the California Environmental Quality Act).

Tribal Summit

After the release of the Draft Blueprint for Plan Bay Area 2050, MTC and ABAG staff organized a second Tribal Summit that took place on Monday, August 3, 2020. The purpose of the Summit was to share the findings of the Draft Blueprint and to receive input from tribal representatives on ways to further refine the Plan Bay Area 2050 Final Blueprint strategies. Because of the COVID-19 pandemic and shelter-in-place guidelines, the Summit was held online via Zoom. MTC and ABAG staff sent invitation letters on June 8, June 29 and July 2, 2020, to key tribal representatives (see Appendix 2, Exhibit 1).

MTC and ABAG Executive Director Therese McMillan, then-MTC Commissioner Jake Mackenzie and ABAG Board Member David Rabbitt welcomed Summit attendees. Dave Vautin, Assistant Director of Major Plans for MTC and ABAG, presented an overview of the Draft Blueprint for Plan Bay Area 2050. The presentation was interspersed with in-depth discussions of the five challenges addressed in the Draft Blueprint: affordable housing, congestion and transit crowding, displacement, greenhouse gas emissions, and the jobs-housing imbalance. The materials from the summit are included in Appendix 2, Exhibit 4.

The following individuals also were in attendance: Kelly Myers, Staff Attorney, National Indian Justice Center; Caltrans District 4 Senior Transportation Planner Stephen Conteh; and Caltrans District 4 Transportation Planner Melissa Hernandez.

Government-to-Government Consultation

Assembly Bill 52 (M. Gatto, Statutes of 2014) amends CEQA and establishes a new category of resources called “tribal cultural resources” that must be considered under the CEQA process. The new category considers tribal cultural value in addition to scientific and archaeological values when determining impacts and mitigation of a project. Additionally, AB 52 requires lead agencies to establish a meaningful consultation process between California Native American tribal governments and lead agencies.

The law requires that prior to the release of an EIR, the lead agency shall begin consultation if a Native American government requests, in writing, to be notified of projects that affect them and requests consultation, in writing, within 30 days of such notification. However, to meet the spirit of the law and establish a “meaningful consultation process,” MTC and ABAG notified affected tribes at the start of preparation of the Plan Bay Area 2050 EIR upon release of the Notice of Preparation (NOP), which kicks off the scoping phase of the EIR process. An NOP informs the public of the lead agency’s intent to prepare an EIR pursuant to CEQA.
On August 26, 2020, MTC and ABAG staff requested via email an updated list of tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of Plan Bay Area 2050 from California’s Native American Heritage Commission. The updated list identified an additional five tribes that MTC and ABAG must engage with as required by AB 52.

On August 28, 2020, MTC and ABAG sent project-notification letters to all tribal contacts to learn about any tribal cultural resources in the plan area. Of the 31 tribes contacted, only three responded:

1. **Amah Mutsun Tribal Band** requested to be contacted by phone to discuss additional project information. MTC and ABAG staff contacted Chairman Valentin Lopez via phone on October 1, 2020, who requested formal consultation. MTC and ABAG staff sent an email to schedule consultation with Chair Lopez on October 6, 2020. No response was received and MTC and ABAG staff followed up via email sent to Chair Lopez on October 20, 2020, with a proposed date for consultation. No response was received to date.

2. **The Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria** requested formal consultation in a letter sent via email received on September 1, 2020. MTC and ABAG staff met for consultation with Ms. Buffy McQuillen, Tribal Heritage Preservation Officer, and Mr. Gene Buvelot, Tribal Administrator, on November 18, 2020.

3. **Wilton Rancheria** requested to initiate consultation via email received on September 30, 2020. MTC and ABAG staff responded via email on October 6, 2020, with proposed dates for consultation. No response was received and MTC and ABAG staff followed up via email sent to Ms. Mariah Mayberry on October 20, 2020. No response was received to date.

The NOP for the Plan Bay Area 2050 EIR was issued by MTC and ABAG on September 28, 2020, for the Draft Environmental Impact Report for Plan Bay Area 2050. In addition to AB 52 consultation, MTC and ABAG sent a copy of the NOP to the following tribes and organizations on September 24, 2020:

- Amah Mutsun Tribal Band
- Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista
- Big Valley Rancheria/Big Valley Band of Pomo Indians
- Bureau of Indian Affairs
- Cachil Dehe Band of Wintun Indians of the Colusa Indian Community
- Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians of California
- Coastanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe
- Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians
- Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians
- Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria
- Guidiville Rancheria
- Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan
- Ione Band of Miwok Indians
- Kashia Band of Pomo Indians of the Stewarts Point Rancheria
- Kletsel Dehe Band of Wintun Indians
- Koi Nation of Northern California
- Lytton Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians
- Mishewal-Wappo Tribe of Alexander Valley
- Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the SF Bay Area
- Native American Heritage Commission
- North Valley Yokuts Tribe
- Pinoeville Pomo Nation
- Potter Valley Rancheria
- Redwood Valley Rancheria
- River Rock Casino
- Robinson Rancheria of Pomo Indians
- Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians
- The Confederated Villages of Lisjan
- The Ohlone Indian Tribe
- Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians
- United Auburn Indian Community of the Auburn Rancheria
- Wilton Rancheria
- Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation
MTC and ABAG staff will organize a third Tribal Summit to occur during the Summer of 2021.

Because of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, the Summit will be held online via Zoom. During this Summit, MTC and ABAG staff will present the draft Plan Bay Area 2050 plan document, the Draft EIR and an overview of the Transportation Improvement Plan. Representatives from Caltrans will be invited to provide an update. Tribes will be provided the opportunity to have one-on-one consultations with MTC and ABAG staff, upon request.
Appendix 1, Exhibit 1: Caltrans Bay Area Federally Recognized Tribes

Bay Area Federally Recognized Tribes

Legend
- Tribal office
- Tribal Trust Land
- Tribal Land into Trust Process
- State Highway
- County Boundary

Note: Land into Trust is the process to convert land to federal title.
November 19, 2019

Patricia Hermosillo, Chairperson
Attn: Vicky Macias
Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians
555 South Cloverdale Blvd., Suite A
Cloverdale, CA 95425

Re: Plan Bay Area 2050 Tribal Government Summit

Dear Patricia Hermosillo,

We are pleased to invite you to attend a summit with the Bay Area’s Native American Tribes and local and regional agencies to discuss Plan Bay Area 2050 — a long-range plan charting the course for the future of the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area. Plan Bay Area 2050 will focus on four key issues—transportation, housing, the environment and the economy—and will identify a path to make the Bay Area more equitable for all residents and more resilient in the face of unexpected challenges. We are kicking-off the Plan this month, and we would like to meet with you to introduce you to the Plan’s elements and get your input on key strategies that will feed into the Plan.

Please join us on Thursday, December 12, 2019 from 10:30 a.m. to 1 p.m. at the National Indian Justice Center, 5250 Aero Drive, Santa Rosa, CA 95403. A light breakfast will be served at 10 a.m. with the program beginning at 10:30 a.m. Lunch also will be provided.

The agenda will consist of a staff presentation followed by a group discussion. Local agencies will also be in attendance to discuss local projects.

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Association of Bay Area Governments appreciate your interest and look forward to your participation in this meeting. Please RSVP to Raquel Trinidad, MTC Public Information Officer, at 415-778-5333 or rtrinidad@bayareametro.gov and provide her with the name, title and affiliated government of the guests wishing to attend. Should you prefer a government-to-government consultation or are unable to attend the meeting, MTC and ABAG would be pleased to schedule a consultation with you or representatives of your government to discuss these topics or other items of interest.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Raquel at the number above or MTC’s Acting Director of Regional Planning, Matt Maloney at 415-778-5220.

Sincerely,

Scott Haggerty
Chair
Metropolitan Transportation Commission

David Rabbitt
President
Association of Bay Area Governments
Appendix 1, Exhibit 3: Fall 2019 Plan Bay Area 2050 Tribal Summit Invitation List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alix Bockelman</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Commission/Association of Bay Area Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Caputo</td>
<td>Caltrans District 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Conteh</td>
<td>Caltrans District 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David DeLira</td>
<td>Dry Creek Rancheria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Harper</td>
<td>National Indian Justice Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jake Mackenzie</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derek McGill</td>
<td>Transportation Authority of Marin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffy McQuin</td>
<td>Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Miller</td>
<td>Napa Valley Transportation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorelle Ross</td>
<td>Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzanne Smith</td>
<td>Sonoma County Transportation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raquel Trinidad</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Commission/Association of Bay Area Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Vautin</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Commission/Association of Bay Area Governments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Welcome! Here is today’s agenda:

10:30 AM  Welcome and Introductions (NIJC)
10:40 AM  Opening Remarks (MTC/ABAG)
10:55 AM  California Transportation Coordination with Tribes (Caltrans)
11:00 AM  Plan Bay Area 2050 Overview (MTC/ABAG)
11:20 AM  First Discussion Breakout
12:05 PM  Lunch
12:20 PM  Second Discussion Breakout
1:10 PM   Q&A and Wrap-up
Despite all of these challenges, the Bay Area is one of the world’s most dynamic, prosperous, and beautiful regions. We have overcome challenges in the past, and we can do it again.
Building on Horizon, Plan Bay Area 2050 will address four core topic areas, as we work to create a long-range integrated regional vision for the next 30 years.

Vision for Plan Bay Area 2050

To ensure by the year 2050 that the Bay Area is affordable, connected, diverse, healthy and vibrant for all.

Central Theme: Partnership

Strategies necessary to address challenges across these topic areas will ultimately require partnership with local, regional, and state governments - as well as the non-profit and private sectors.

Engaging Stakeholders and the Public in Crafting the Plan Bay Area 2050 Blueprint

Stakeholder Meetings (RAWG, etc.)
Ongoing Local Engagement
Pop-Up Workshops
Mayor of Bayville App, Webinars, etc.
For Today's Discussion on Plan Bay Area 2050

Which strategies do you like, and why?

Which strategies do you dislike, and why?

What additional strategies should be considered?

Kicking Off the Draft Blueprint: Economic Strategies Recommended from Horizon

- **Improve Economic Mobility**
  - Provide Childcare Subsidy for Low-Income Households. Enable low-income households to remain in or enter the workforce by providing a 50 percent subsidy for low-income households with children under age 5.
  - Create Incubator Programs in Economically-Challenged Areas. Provide technical assistance, access to workspaces, and financing to new small businesses in disadvantaged housing-rich communities.

- **Shift the Location of Jobs**
  - Implement Regional Office Development Impact Fees. Apply a fee on new office development in areas that have high employment-related vehicle miles traveled.
  - Establish Priority Production Areas to Protect Industrial Lands. Implement industrial land protections and supportive policies to preserve and grow employment.

- **Additional Strategies Evaluated - Require Further Refinements**
  - Expanding Construction Workforce Training Programs
  - Place Office Development Caps in Job-Rich Locations

NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBAL ENGAGEMENT AND GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION REPORT
Kicking Off the Draft Blueprint: Housing Strategies Recommended from Horizon

**Spur Housing Production**
- Allow a Greater Mix of Housing Densities and Types in Growth Areas.
- Expand the geographic footprint for focused growth beyond Priority Development Areas (PDAs) to Transit-Rich Areas and High-Resource Areas.

**Retain & Expand Affordable Housing**
- Streamline Development in Growth Areas. Apply a set of development streamlining measures, including faster development approvals and lower parking requirements.
- Transform Aging Malls and Office Parks into Mixed-Income Neighborhoods. Enable new land uses at these locations and support multi-benefit development goals.
- Fund Affordable Housing Preservation and Production. Raise $1.5 billion in new annual revenues to preserve existing affordable units and construct new affordable housing units at a more aggressive pace.
- Require 10 to 20 Percent of New Housing to Be Affordable. Expand inclusionary zoning across the Bay Area with a variable rate, ranging between 10 percent in weaker-market communities and 20 percent in stronger-market communities.

Kicking Off the Draft Blueprint: Transportation Strategies Recommended from Horizon

**Improve Access, Speed, and Reliability of Transportation**
- Operate and Maintain the Existing System. Commit to operating and maintaining the Bay Area’s roads and transit infrastructure over the next 30 years.
- Complete Set of Plan Bay Area 2040 Transit Expansion Projects. Construct projects including BART to Silicon Valley Phase 2, SMART to Windsor, and key rapid bus lines.
- Build a New Transbay Rail Crossing. Invest in a new transbay rail crossing, enabling significant frequency boosts on rail systems in the East Bay and West Bay.

**Prioritize Active Modes**
- Lower Speed Limits on Highways and Local Streets. Reduce final street speed limits in growth areas to 25 mph and reduce highway speed limits to 55 mph.
- Build a Complete Micromobility Network. Build out nearly 10,000 miles of new bicycle infrastructure, including protected bike lanes and trails.

**Price Transportation Services**
- Develop a Single Platform to Access and Pay for All Mobility Options. Enable integrated trip planning and fare payment for all travel modes via smartphone.
- Apply Tolls Based on Time of Day and Vehicle Occupancy on All Freeways. Reduce traffic congestion by implementing tolls ranging from 5 cents to 15 cents per mile.

**Additional Strategies Evaluated - Require Further Refinements**
- Build and Operate a Regional Express Bus Network
- Build a Complete Micromobility Network
- Provide Free Transit to Lower-Income Residents
- Create a Very High Capacity Regional Rail Network
- Provide Free Shared Bike and Shuttle Services
- Purchase Disaster Recovery Financing

Plan Bay Area 2050 Schedule

**For Today’s Discussion on Plan Bay Area 2050**

**Which strategies do you like, and why?**

**Which strategies do you dislike, and why?**

**What additional strategies should be considered?**

Showcasing Other MTC Initiatives: Vital Signs

The Vital Signs performance monitoring tool allows you to track progress on key topic areas on the local, county, and regional levels - check it out at vitalsigns.mtc.ca.gov.
June 8, 2020

Patricia Hermosillo
Chairperson
Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians
555 South Cloverdale Blvd., Suite A
Cloverdale, CA 95425

Re: Plan Bay Area 2050 Tribal Government Summit

Dear Chairperson Hermosillo,

On behalf of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), we hope that you, your families and communities are safely adjusting to these very difficult times. Given the COVID-19 pandemic and current shelter in place orders, all in-person engagement events have transitioned to a virtual format and as a result, we would like to know your preference for future consultations. Please select one of the two options:

1. **One-on-one government-to-government consultation.** We can host these via Zoom online or over the phone. These sessions would allow your tribal government to share your individualized concerns in a private setting.

2. **Joint Summit.** You may participate in a joint meeting with other tribal governments as a part of a tribal summit with government representatives from MTC-ABAG and fellow tribal government representatives. These sessions have historically occurred at the National Indian Justice Center (NIJC) offices; however, given the current conditions, the joint summit would happen via Zoom online.

We are in the midst of a planning exercise to discuss Plan Bay Area 2050 — a long-range plan charting the course for the future of the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area. Plan Bay Area 2050 will focus on four key issues—transportation, housing, the environment and the economy—and will identify a path to make the Bay Area more equitable for all residents and more resilient in the face of unexpected challenges. We are returning this summer to deepen our discussion with you on the Plan’s elements and to get your input on improved strategies and outcomes that will feed into the Plan. Your response is critical.

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Association of Bay Area Governments appreciate your interest and look forward to your participation. Please respond to Marti Paschal, Public Information Officer, at (415) 820-7996 or mpaschal@bayareametro.gov with the following preferences:

1. Specify preference for future consultation process; and
2. Identify point of contact for future virtual engagement meetings.

Please include full name, email, phone number and preferred mode of communication.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hon. Darin Beltran, Chairperson</td>
<td>Koi Nation of Northern California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mr. Dino Beltran, Treasurer</td>
<td>Koi Nation of Northern California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hon. Rosemary Cambra, Chairperson</td>
<td>Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the SF Bay Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mr. David DeLira, Transportation Engineer</td>
<td>Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hon. Jean-Marie Feyling, Chairperson</td>
<td>Amah/Mutsun Tribal Band</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hon. Dino Franklin, Jr., Chairperson</td>
<td>Kashia Band of Pomo Indians of the Stewarts Point Rancheria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hon. Andrew Galvan, Chairperson</td>
<td>The Ohlone Indian Tribe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ms. Nina Hapner, Environmental Planner</td>
<td>Kashia Band of Pomo Indians of the Stewarts Point Rancheria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hon. Patricia Hermosillo, Chairperson</td>
<td>Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ms. Lynn Laub, Executive Assistant to the Board of Directors</td>
<td>Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ms. Vickey Macias, Tribal Administrator</td>
<td>Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hon. Margie Mejia, Chairperson</td>
<td>Lytton Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ms. Kelly Myers, Staff Attorney</td>
<td>National Indian Justice Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Hon. Lorelle Ross, Tribal Vice-Chair</td>
<td>Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hon. Greg Sarris, Chairperson</td>
<td>Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hon. Karen White, Chairperson</td>
<td>Xolon Salinan Tribe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Hon. Chris Wright, Chairperson</td>
<td>Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Exhibit 3: Summer 2020 Plan Bay Area 2050 Tribal Summit Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Conteh</td>
<td>Caltrans District 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Hernandez</td>
<td>Caltrans District 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jake Mackenzie</td>
<td>City of Rohnert Park/MTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therese McMillan</td>
<td>MTC/ABAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassandra Mitchell</td>
<td>Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Myers</td>
<td>National Indian Justice Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marti Paschal</td>
<td>MTC/ABAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Rabbitt</td>
<td>Sonoma County/ABAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Vautin</td>
<td>MTC/ABAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursula Vogler</td>
<td>MTC/ABAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Welcome to the Plan Bay Area 2050 Blueprint Workshop!
We’re happy you are joining us for today’s digital event. We look forward to sharing the findings of the Draft Blueprint with you today and hearing how we can further refine the Final Blueprint strategies to create a better Bay Area for future generations.

Overview of Today’s Event

- Introduction – Plan Bay Area 2050 and its Draft Blueprint (15 minutes)
- Presentation – Share findings from analysis of Draft Blueprint strategies, present local impacts, regional initiatives and answer clarifying questions (45 minutes)
- Q&A – Seek input on strategy refinements for Plan Bay Area 2050’s Final Blueprint (15 minutes)
- Caltrans Update – Provide update on statewide and local efforts (20 minutes)
- Q&A and Wrap-up (35 minutes)

Plan Bay Area 2050 is the 30-year regional vision integrating resilient & equitable strategies for:

- Transportation
- Housing
- Economy
- Environment

Vision: Ensure by the year 2050 that the Bay Area is affordable, connected, diverse, healthy and vibrant for all.

Draft Blueprint: Highlights in the COVID-19 Era

While Plan Bay Area 2050 is a 30 year vision for the Bay Area, many of the strategies approved for analysis by the Commission and ABAG Board in February have only become timelier, including...

- Advancing thousands of miles of safe bicycle & pedestrian facilities
- Integrating protections from sudden rent hikes that accelerate displacement
- Prioritizing strategies to support essential workers, such as childcare subsidies
- Protecting much-needed open space for the enjoyment of all residents
Lives Saved and Injuries Averted
Strategies to reduce vehicle speeds and build protected bike/ped infrastructure save lives.

Greater Resilience to Hazards
Seismic retrofits and sea level rise infrastructure protect thousands of homes from damage.

Robust Economic Growth
Despite significant tax increases to pay for new strategies, Bay Area businesses continue to thrive.

Key Highlights of Draft Blueprint Outcomes

Improved Affordability
Housing and transportation costs are significantly reduced, especially for low-income residents.

More Permanently-Affordable Homes
New revenues enable a significant uptick in production of deed-restricted affordable homes.

More Growth Near Transit
Most new homes are focused in walkable communities with frequent transit service.

The Draft Blueprint accommodates the needs of future residents by addressing historical underproduction of housing.

Regional Growth Forecast: Bay Area Integrating COVID-19/Recession Impacts between 2020 and 2030

Check out planbayarea.org/blueprint for more detail on each strategy!
The Draft Blueprint strategies focus much more growth in the South Bay, closest to the region’s largest job center.

Local Focus: Sonoma County — Housing & Jobs

In addition to significant growth in telecommuting, Draft Blueprint strategies also encourage more transit ridership, walking and bicycling.

And with climate change impacts worsening every year, the Draft Blueprint strategies protect nearly all communities through 2050.

Still, the Bay Area must do much more to reduce carbon emissions. Even without major roadway projects, the Draft Blueprint is falling short of the state-mandated climate target for year 2035.
### Challenge #1: Affordable Housing

**Here’s what we integrated into the Draft Blueprint:**

- Allow a Greater Mix of Housing Types and Densities in Growth Areas
- Fund Affordable Housing Protection, Preservation and Production
- Require Developers to Build More Affordable Units

**And yet...**

There are still hundreds of thousands of low-income households without access to permanently-affordable homes.

**Here’s the challenge:**

How do we create more permanently-affordable housing, especially in areas with well-resourced schools, amenities and transportation options?

We need your feedback on new or expanded strategies!

### Challenge #2: Congestion and Crowding

**Here’s what we integrated into the Draft Blueprint:**

- Advance High-Ridership Transit Projects
- Reform Regional Transit Fare Policy
- Implement Per-Mile Tolling on Congested Freeways with Transit Alternatives

**And yet...**

Traffic congestion and transit overcrowding continue to worsen in many freeway corridors and on many transit systems.

**Here’s the challenge:**

How can new or expanded strategies alleviate traffic congestion on suburban highways and reduce overcrowding on bus and rail lines?

We need your feedback on new or expanded strategies!

### Challenge #3: Displacement

**Here’s what we integrated into the Draft Blueprint:**

- Further Strengthen Renter Protections Beyond State Legislation
- Reduce Barriers to Housing Near Transit and in Areas of High Opportunity
- Expand Childcare Support for Low-Income Families

**And yet...**

The risk of displacement in most Bay Area communities continues to grow over time.

**Here’s the challenge:**

How can we further ensure that low-income residents and communities of color are not ultimately displaced to areas with limited access to opportunity?

We need your feedback on new or expanded strategies!
Here’s the challenge: How do we further reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions to reach critical climate goals?

We need your feedback on new or expanded strategies!

### Challenge #5: Jobs-Housing Imbalance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here’s what we integrated into the Draft Blueprint:</th>
<th>Assess Impact Fees on New Office Developments</th>
<th>Retain Key Industrial Lands</th>
<th>Create Incubator Programs in Economically-Challenged Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And yet...</td>
<td>Jobs continue to cluster in Silicon Valley and San Francisco, far from many existing residents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Here’s the challenge:</td>
<td>How could more ambitious economic strategies be employed to shift jobs closer to existing housing-rich communities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We need your feedback on new or expanded strategies!

### Which of these potential strategies should be included in the Draft Blueprint to address the jobs-housing imbalance in the Bay Area?

- Increase fees that discourage development of new office parks in jobs-rich, auto-oriented communities
- Expand business incubator programs to create new small businesses in housing-rich communities
- Prohibit new construction of office buildings in communities that have many more jobs than homes
- Provide tax subsidies for employers to relocate middle-wage jobs closer to affordable housing
- Other: What else would you suggest?

### Which of these potential strategies should be included in the Draft Blueprint to reduce climate emissions in the Bay Area?

- Expand electric vehicle and charging infrastructure subsidies, especially for lower-income households
- Postpone highway widening projects until a greater share of vehicles are electric
- Charge parking fees to disincentivize driving, putting fees towards bicycle giveaways and free shuttles
- Require employers to implement mandatory work from home policies 2 or 3 days per week
- Other: What else would you suggest?

### Which of these potential strategies should be included in the Draft Blueprint to address displacement in the Bay Area?

- Implement workforce training programs to grow the middle class and make it easier to stay in place
- Dedicate and protect ample investments in schools, parks and transit to improve quality of life in communities where displaced residents are moving
- Other: What else would you suggest?

### Which of these potential strategies should be included in the Draft Blueprint to address the jobs-housing imbalance in the Bay Area?

- Expand investment in the preservation of permanently-affordable housing in communities facing displacement
- Ensure ample affordable housing is built in communities most at risk of displacement
- Implement workforce training programs to grow the middle class and make it easier to stay in place
- Dedicate and protect ample investments in schools, parks and transit to improve quality of life in communities where displaced residents are moving
- Other: What else would you suggest?

### Challenge #4: Greenhouse Gas Emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Here’s what we integrated into the Draft Blueprint:</th>
<th>Implement Per-Mile Tolling on Congested Freeways with Transit Alternatives</th>
<th>Build a Complete Streets Network and Implement Reduced Speed Limits</th>
<th>Allow a Greater Mix of Housing Types and Densities near Frequent Transit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And yet...</td>
<td>The Draft Blueprint only gets us halfway to our emissions reduction goal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Here’s the challenge:</td>
<td>How do we further reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions to reach critical climate goals?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We need your feedback on new or expanded strategies!
Other Regional Initiatives: Transportation Improvement Program

How do transportation projects get integrated into the Transportation Improvement Program?

- New Project Ideas and Local Review
- Long Range Plan: Plan Bay Area 2050
- Project Selection/TIP Programming
- Construction/Implementation

What investments are included in the current (2019) Transportation Improvement Program?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>2019 TIP Investment by Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobile</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle/Pedestrian</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Planning</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2019 TIP Funding by Source

- Federal: 20%
- State: 27%
- Regional: 4%
- Local: 49%

More breakdowns, including at the county level, can be found at: mtc.ca.gov/our-work/fund-invest/transportation-improvement-program

Other Regional Initiatives: Regional Housing Needs Allocation

What is RHNA?
- Since 1969, State law requires that all jurisdictions must plan to meet the housing needs of everyone in the community.
- HCD identifies total number of units, across all income groups, for which the region must plan for the eight-year RHNA period (2023 to 2031).
- ABAG collaborates with local governments and stakeholders to develop a formula to assign each community a share of the Bay Area’s housing need.
- Each local government must update Housing Element of General Plan and zoning to show how it plans to accommodate its share of the regional need.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Bay Area Housing Need by RHNA Cycle</th>
<th>1999-2006</th>
<th>2007-2014</th>
<th>2015-2023</th>
<th>2023-2031</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230,743</td>
<td>214,500</td>
<td>187,994</td>
<td>441,176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are the primary steps in the process?

- Public Comment Opportunities throughout
- Draft Allocation: Fall 2020/Spring 2021
- Final Allocation: End of 2021
- Local Housing Element Updates: January 2023

Other Regional Initiatives: BCDC and the Air District

- 2017 Clean Air Plan
- Air District Grants
  - Clean Vehicle Rebates
  - Clean Cars for All
- 6-month effort to develop and adopt a regional climate adaptation strategy
- Includes studying impacts and proposing strategies to protect the natural and built environment
Caltrans Update

Questions for Caltrans or MTC/ABAG Staff?

What’s Next: Upcoming Milestones for Plan Bay Area 2050

By Fall 2021:
Approval of Plan Bay Area 2050

Public & Stakeholder Engagement
Commission & ABAG Board Action on Final Blueprint
Consult on the Release of EIR Process with Tribes

EIR Slide

Additional Resources
Visit planbayarea.org/blueprint to learn more about the Draft Blueprint, including:
- Strategies Summary
- Growth Geographies Map
- Equity & Performance Outcomes
- And more!
- For today’s Blueprint video: planbayare.org/blueprint

Thank you!
Questions, comments and request for consultation can be directed to:
- mmpascal@bayareametro.gov
- uvogler@bayareametro.gov
- dvautin@bayareametro.gov